UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/677,359	10/03/2003	Osamu Kawamae	500.37130CC2	9810	
20457 ANTONELL	7590 08/08/2007 I, TERRY, STOUT & KR.	AUS, LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER	
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SMITHERS, MATTHEW				MATTHEW	
SUITE 1800 ARLINGTO	N, VA 22209-3873		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2137		
			<u> </u>		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/08/2007	· ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

officeaction@antonelli.com dprater@antonelli.com tsampson@antonelli.com

.				mN			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1-11-			
Office Ashies Comme		10/677,359	KAWAMAE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Matthew B. Smithers	2137				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence addr	ress			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	L. ely filed the mailing date of this com O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 O	<u>ctober 2003</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.						
	 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ∑ Claim(s) 1,2,5-14,16,18-34 and 36 is/are allowed. 6) ∑ Claim(s) 3,15 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) ∑ Claim(s) 4 and 35 is/are objected to. 						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	·				
Applicat	ion Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
141	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
בו(יי	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1C)-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National S	tage			
* (application from the International Bureau	, ,,	ي.				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	nt(s)						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :10/03/03;08/06/04;11/22/04;03/22/05;04/22/05;08/22/05;03/07/06;12/22/06.

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements filed August 6, 2004, November 22, 2004, April 22, 2005, August 22, 2005, March 7, 2006 and December 22, 2006 have been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

The information disclosure statement filed October 3, 2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. The information disclosure statement filed March 22, 2005 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because the Japanese Office Action was not listed on a 1449 or a PTO/SB/08 form. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Art Unit: 2137

Claim Objections

Claim 4 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) where the claim or claims must conform to the invention as set forth in the remainder of the specification and the terms and phrases used in the claims must find clear support or antecedent basis in the description so that the meaning of the terms in the claims may be ascertainable by reference to the description. (See § 1.58(a)). In the instant claim, applicant recites "selecting means for selecting a descrambling means from a plurality of descrambling means", however the claim never provides an antecedent basis for a descrambling means. Claim 35 depends from objected claim 4 and is therefore objected for at least the reason given above.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. In the instant claim there are steps for authenticating and

Application/Control Number: 10/677,359

Art Unit: 2137

Page 4

descrambling data transmitted between devices but there are no steps for reproducing the data.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172:01. In the instant claim there are steps for authenticating an external device however there are no steps for coding data.

Claim 17 provides for the use of recording data, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example Ex parte Dunki, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner, 255 F. Supp. 131,149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims1, 2, 5-14, 16, 18-34 and 36 are allowed.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2137

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew B. Smithers whose telephone number is (571) 272-3876. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30) EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel L. Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mafflew D. Duwa Matthew B Smithers Primary Examiner Art Unit 2137